

The Common Council met immediately following the Water Utility Board on the above date in the Meeting Room at City Hall Annex with Mayor Knowles presiding and members Askren, Tucker, Rueger, Angel, and Cooper attending. Others attending were Supt. Gray; Attorney Funkhouser; Chief Beloit; Chief Webber; Supt. Givens; Asst. St. Comm. Miller; Vicki Peerman; Ken and Paula Rudibaugh; Lorelei and Roger Barnes; Sheri Banks; Manna Wightman; Belinda Jones; Betty Johnson; Ann Fischer; Sherri Moll; Frank Gilmore; Bill Collins; Hank Hudson; Chris Janak - Bose McKinney & Evans; Sis Claxton; Ann Blackburn; Mary Ann Watson; John Robb; Shane Burkhardt - Bonar Group; Bret Moye and Jim O'Connor - Countrymark; Brandon Epison; Larry Williams; Tiffani Eisenhut - Mount Vernon Democrat; and Jamie Grabert - Posey County News.

Mayor Knowles called the meeting to order by stating members were mailed or hand carried copies of the minutes of their previous meeting and by asking if there were any corrections or additions. She added if not, she entertains a motion to waive their reading and to approve the minutes as presented.

Councilman Cooper moved the reading of the minutes be waived and they be approved as presented. Seconded by Councilman Angel.

Mayor Knowles stated all those in favor of the motion should signify in the affirmative; and following the vote, she reported the motion carried unanimously.

Mayor Knowles again reminded the Audience that anyone wishing to address the Council should approach the podium and state their name and the nature of their business.

Mayor Knowles stated they will now hear the reports of the Standing Committees.

Street & Light Chairwoman Askren stated she has no report.

Asst. St. Comm. Miller stated he has no report, everything is fine.

Mayor Knowles asked if there were any questions ?

There were none.

Police & Dog Chairman Tucker stated he has no report.

Chief Beloit stated he has no report.

Mayor Knowles asked if there were any questions ?

There were none.

Fire Chairman Rueger stated he has no report.

Chief Webber stated he has no report.

Mayor Knowles asked if there were any questions ?

There were none.

Sewer Chairman Angel stated he has no report.

Supt. Givens stated he has no report.

Mayor Knowles asked if there were any questions ?

There were none.

Mayor Knowles stated they have the Financial Report for November and any questions could be directed to Clerk-Treasurer Wolfe.

Mayor Knowles asked if the Clerk-Treasurer had any Communications for the Council ?

Clerk-Treasurer Wolfe replied not at this time.

Mayor Knowles stated the first item on the Legal portion of the Agenda is discussion of the interlocal agreement in reference to the city insurance, and the Library, Housing Authority and Children's Learning Center being on the city plan.

Attorney Funkhouser added this is the same type of agreement that they had last year, the only difference is adding vision and dental, as requested by the agent. He stated he had no problem with the request.

Mayor Knowles asked if there was any discussion ?

There was none.

Councilman Cooper moved they enter into the interlocal agreement and that it be approved and signed. Seconded by Councilman Angel.

Mayor Knowles stated all those in favor of the motion should signify in the affirmative; and following the vote, she reported the motion carried unanimously.

Mayor Knowles stated the next item, finalizing the franchise agreement with Sigecom, needs to be tabled, as the contract has not been finalized.

Mayor Knowles stated the next item is a Resolution to Transfer in the General, Park & Recreation, Park & Recreation Non-Reverting, and Cumulative Capital Development Funds. She then asked if there was any discussion ?

Clerk-Treasurer Wolfe added all transfers are within the same budget, no new money is being requested.

Councilman Tucker asked about a vehicle being purchased by the Dog Pound ?

Chief Beloit replied there are surplus funds in the Dog Pound/Code Enforcement budget this year and they would like to use those funds for an initial down payment on some vehicle for the police department. He continued by stating the Board of Works approved the request and the police department will then take over making the payments. He added that many times when the ACO is not available, the police vehicles are utilized for his duties, and as of January 2007, the vehicle line in the police department budget will be freed up again.

Mayor Knowles stated the CCDF fund is basically used up due to the fire truck payment, and that is a long term commitment and she feels that the police department fleet also needs to be kept current.

Councilman Tucker stated he has no problem with the request, though he also realizes that the ACO vehicle, a new Dakota, is not yet paid for. He added he feels any extra money should go to pay that debt off first.

Mayor Knowles agreed with Councilman Tucker, but added that the police vehicle situation also needs to be rectified.

Councilman Tucker asked if there are officers currently on the force that live outside the city limits ?

Chief Beloit replied yes.

Councilman Tucker added they all have take home cars, too. He wondered if these cars, that are parked in the city limits when they are off duty, couldn't be utilized better, they could be rotated until the money is freed up. He added the city never knows what the state is going to do with their budgets, the Local Road & Street Fund has dwindled away, and the city is in the red as it is. He added he does not want to see the city get further in the red.

Mayor Knowles added that is another reason they are having the public hearing tonight on annexation.

Councilman Tucker agreed and added the city needs a big bang for their buck. He stated he feels the police department should use their lower mileage vehicles until the money is freed up, as two or three of the out of town officers have take home cars.

Chief Beloit agreed that is an option, but it would also put the take home car program in danger, and fleet cars are not maintained as well.

Councilman Tucker stated with 15 to 16 take home cars, five years down the road, there would still be indebtedness.

Chief Beloit replied three years actually, and in the past, this was done as the only way to implement the take home car program and make it work. He added the previous administration set this up financially.

Mayor Knowles agreed it is a common practice, to make three or so lease payments.

Councilman Tucker stated it just seems that every time he turns around, some department is getting a loan, or financing something, the fire truck was just refinanced again.....

Mayor Knowles stated the fire truck was refinanced again to save money.

Councilman Tucker added the fire department also just purchased a new pick up truck.

Mayor Knowles replied that is also going to be paid off this year as well.

Councilman Tucker stated the ACO truck is also being financed and he thinks they need to be careful spending tomorrow's money today.

Mayor Knowles agreed.

Councilman Tucker stated he understand the Board of Works agreed to the purchases and then he wondered about the gaming revenue money ?

Mayor Knowles replied those monies are earmarked for the downtown, the 20% match that the city will need for the parks and the quality of life for the residents.

Councilman Tucker agreed and added the quality of life also involves the safety of the citizens.

Mayor Knowles stated she believes in the riverfront project, the water department, as it turns out, may need a new building, at the street department. She stated it is a prime opportunity for the riverfront project to go through. She added she is not going to budge on that project, sorry.

Councilman Tucker stated they may need to weigh that against the public safety, he does not want to see the city in a financial bind.

Mayor Knowles stated she understand that and that is definitely being looked at, it is not an issue at this time.

Councilman Rueger moved the Resolution to Transfer in the General, Park & Recreation, Park & Recreation Non-Reverting, and CCDF Funds be passed. Seconded by Councilwoman Askren.

Mayor Knowles requested a roll call vote.

Roll was called by the Clerk-Treasurer with Council voting as follows:

Askren - yes; Tucker - no; Rueger - yes;

Angel - yes; Cooper - yes.

Mayor Knowles stated the motion carried.

Clerk-Treasurer Wolfe added it will be numbered 05-40.

Mayor Knowles stated the next item is an Ordinance that was discussed at the Board of Works meeting, to amend Ord. 05-27, the 2006 Appointed Officers and Employees Salary Ordinance.

Councilman Cooper asked if the Board of Works discussed changing Supt. Givens salary?

Mayor Knowles replied yes, taking his 2005 salary and adding Mr. Dickman's salary to it, as he will no longer be needed. She added that the Council should keep in mind Supt. Givens took over the wastewater superintendent's position and saved money from the maintenance foreman position, that was never replaced. She added he has actually been doing three jobs, the old positions were never replaced. She added if this ordinance is passed, Mr. Dickman will no longer be paid and will no longer be an employee as of January 1, 2006.

Councilwoman Askren asked if Mr. Stucki was only paid \$5,000 more when he did the job?

Mayor Knowles replied they should keep in mind that the \$32,000 for maintenance foreman as well is being saved.

Councilwoman Askren stated that is true, but that is a very big increase for his receiving his certification, \$20,000, that is a lot.

Mayor Knowles stated they had paid Mr. Dickman to do it.

Councilwoman Askren added that Mr. Dickman had a degree.

Mayor Knowles replied that Supt. Givens has one now too.

Councilwoman Askren stated yes, she realizes that he does now too.

Mayor Knowles stated he should be paid for his certification, or they can keep Mr. Dickman and continue to pay him as well.

Councilwoman Askren replied no, not since Supt. Givens has his degree.

Mayor Knowles stated Supt. Givens' certification is close to the top that he can get, he can now sign water reports as well.

Councilman Tucker added that Mr. Stucki used to do both.

Mayor Knowles added that the city is fortunate that both Supt. Gray and Supt. Givens passed their tests, the city would be in world of hurt without them. She then stated it is up to the Council what they do.

Councilman Tucker stated Supt. Givens should be commended for his efforts and he does deserve a raise, but he agrees with Councilwoman Askren, \$20,000 is lot, but he can also see where Mayor Knowles is coming from.

Mayor Knowles stated Supt. Givens had to get this certification, by law, and the city was very fortunate that Mr. Dickman's position was agreeable with IDEM as well. She added they could have been out a lot more money.

Attorney Funkhouser questioned Supt. Givens' current salary.

Mayor Knowles replied \$37,302, and then she added Mr. Dickman's hourly rate, etc., to get the \$20,800 increase, for a total of \$57,102.00.

Attorney Funkhouser asked what Mr. Stucki had been paid ?

Councilman Tucker replied \$5,000 above all other department heads, due to his certification, but he also did water and sewer at one time, but he did not charge extra for that.

Mayor Knowles stated one also gets what one pays for, and as a larger issue, Mr. Stucki's license was relinquished, and that is no longer an issue. She added that for the Superintendent/Operator, \$57,000 is not that bad and since she has been here, they would have spent \$70,000 if there had been two different people doing those jobs. She added Supt. Givens may not take the position if he isn't paid for it.

Attorney Funkhouser stated he should be compensated fairly roughly to what has been done in the past.

Mayor Knowles stated she feels like the council does, and it is a larger increase, but he has been doing all of those jobs, and it is only fair to compensate him as such.

Attorney Funkhouser asked if Mr. Dickman was an independent contractor ?

Mayor Knowles replied yes and she can't believe IDEM allowed it, they really did try to work with the city and Mr. Dickman was a God send.

Supt. Givens asked if could approach the podium and address the Council. He then stated he has been doing the job for two years, without a maintenance foreman, and has not been paid a dime more at the time. He added he has gone to Ivy Tech three times, Indianapolis and passed the test and it has been a long road. He again stated he passed. He added the Council should do what they want, but they will be spending \$20,000 more if they have to hire a maintenance foreman. He also stated he feels people should get paid for what they

work, a foreman needs trained, etc., but without that position they will also be saving insurance money, uniform cost, etc. which could be at least \$20,000, but is ultimately up to the Council.

Mayor Knowles added she herself can not work on sewers, she knows nothing about them.

Councilman Cooper stated he thought the Water Superintendent and the Wastewater Superintendent were paid the same thing.

Mayor Knowles replied their certifications are different, and actually the water is a very very minimal certification, Supt. Givens' is a 3, and will be a 4 the next time.

Attorney Funkhouser asked if there was a maintenance foreman before Mr. Givens ?

Supt. Givens replied yes, and added he filled it and then they got rid of the position.

Attorney Funkhouser wondered about the position and if Supt. Givens had indeed taken over the duties.

Supt. Givens replied yes, he took over the duties.

Mayor Knowles stated he has been doing all the jobs, and should be compensated for it.

Supt. Givens stated there was no need to the foreman position, he did it. He then stated in order to even take the test that he needed, one had to have seven to ten years work experience.

Mayor Knowles questioned Supt. Gray's test ?

Supt. Givens replied it is the lowest of any industrial/municipal licensing.

Mayor Knowles stated the Board of Works agreed to the salary and forwarded this on to the Council.

Councilman Angel added he agreed, but he had no idea what the final figure would be.

Mayor Knowles stated she was not sure at the time herself.

Councilman Cooper asked if they could table this until the next meeting, and give them time to talk to Supt. Givens and some others - this is the first time he has seen this ordinance.

Mayor Knowles replied that is fine, but they will have to have at least four councilmen present at the next meeting in order to suspend the rules and pass the ordinance on all three readings.

Councilwoman Askren stated they should table it until the December 27th meeting.

Councilman Cooper moved ordinance be tabled until December 27, 2005. Seconded by Councilwoman Askren.

Mayor Knowles asked if there was any discussion ?

Councilman Cooper asked if Councilmen Tucker, Rueger and Councilwoman Askren would be able to attend the next meeting ?

They all stated they would be able to attend.

Mayor Knowles stated all those in favor of the motion should signify in the affirmative; and following the vote, she reported the motion carried unanimously.

Mayor Knowles stated the next item is an Ordinance Enacting and Adopting a Supplement to the Code of Ordinances for the City of Mount Vernon, IN and Declaring an Emergency.

Clerk-Treasurer Wolfe added it is the same ordinance they pass every year when the supplement to the codification arrives.

Attorney Funkhouser agreed and added he looked it over and it is fine.

Councilman Rueger moved ordinance pass first reading. Seconded by Councilman Cooper.

Mayor Knowles asked if there was any discussion ?

There was none.

Mayor Knowles requested a roll call vote.

Roll was called by the Clerk-Treasurer with Council voting as follows:

Askren - yes; Tucker - yes; Rueger - yes;

Angel - yes; Cooper - yes.

Mayor Knowles stated the motion carried.

Councilman Rueger moved the rules be suspended. Seconded by Councilwoman Askren.

Mayor Knowles asked if there was any discussion ?

There was none.

Mayor Knowles requested a roll call vote.

Roll was called by the Clerk-Treasurer with Council voting as follows:

Askren - yes; Tucker - yes; Rueger - yes;

Angel - yes; Cooper - yes.

Mayor Knowles stated the motion carried.

Councilman Rueger moved the ordinance pass on second and third readings. Seconded by Councilman Angel.

Mayor Knowles asked if there was any discussion ?

There was none.

Mayor Knowles requested a roll call vote.

Roll was called by the Clerk-Treasurer with Council voting as follows:

Askren - yes; Tucker - yes; Rueger - yes;

Angel - yes; Cooper - yes.

Mayor Knowles stated the motion carried.

Clerk-Treasurer Wolfe added it will be numbered 05-41.

Mayor Knowles stated as was advertised for 7:30, they will now conduct the public hearing on annexation. She added as it is well past 7:30, is there anyone in the Audience that would like to address the Council on the public hearing ?

Mr. Jim O'Connor stated he is with Countrymark and wondered if the Council could give him a brief description on the fiscal impact of this annexation ?

Mr. Janak stated he will address that and started by stating they are actually discussing two different annexations, one on the west side and one on the east side of town. He added on the east side of town, a car dealership is looking into making some economic development in the area, utilizing TIF, etc., which will only be possible if the annexation happens. He added the benefit to the city is that they will then be able to have more control over the development, the zoning, incentives, etc. He added if the annexation does not happen, there are no options and the city has no control. He stated the annexation would be good for the city and the landowners. He then stated tonight they will be addressing the west side annexation, which was introduced in September by an ordinance of annexation and presentation by Bonar on a fiscal policy. He stated the annexation in question consists of 300 acres on the west side of town and notices have been sent to landowners, published in the newspapers, and comments have been received from the landowners, and now is their chance to speak on it, for the public to respond. He added the Council's job tonight is to

listen, as they can not vote or act on the annexation for at least 30 days. He again stated, Council tonight is to digest the information they hear for 30 to 60 days.

Mayor Knowles asked if anyone in the Audience wished to address the Council ?

Mr. O'Connor stated he was here at the meeting on November 28th and asked the Council at that time to consider a proposal under IC 36-4-3-21, payment in lieu of annexation. He stated Countrymark has considered all the facts and feels it is in everyone's best interest to put this together in lieu of annexation. He added that in his presentation, they discussed that the annexation would mean payments made by the city on a bond issue, and capital improvements are also planned as part of the annexation. He added water mains would need to be installed and streets would need to be constructed, to the tune of around \$228,000 out of the city's pocket. He added that money would have to come from a bond issue, which would require the city to make annual payments, and Countrymark is offering to make the city payments, in lieu of annexation, starting in 2006 at \$20,000, with a 2% increase annually. He also stated in 2006 the water utility will realize a loss in surcharge of the out of town users with the annexation, to the tune of around \$30,000; in 2007 it will be around \$42,000, and so on. He added 2008 is the first year that revenue will be realized by the city as a result of the annexation, though they will also not have made any bond payments yet either. He stated with the annexation, \$77,000 will be lost over four years and with Countrymark's proposal, the city will have no expenses and gain around \$82,000 for four years. He added the proposal is positive, versus annexation, and they can enter multiple year agreements if the city desires, plus the city gets money up front, immediately, with no debt for bond issue. He stated the city will have more money with the proposal versus annexation, without the risk of expense for the annexation.

Councilman Tucker stated he has noticed that the water usage for the refinery has dropped considerably from around 477,000 gallons down to around 86,000.

Mayor Knowles added that it would mess up the contiguity without them being annexed.

Mr. O'Connor stated he understands, but the fiscal plan makes no mention of development in the west side area, the east side annexation has purpose for development.

Mayor Knowles stated the west side is a highly industrialized area and she has had some aggressive approaches for potential growth, there is no limit to the area. She added she has had four surveys sent to her in three months time looking at the Mount Vernon area, and Mount Vernon is not in non-attainment, as Vanderburgh and Warrick counties are, there is lots of potential here.

Mr. O'Connor stated there is just nothing listed in the fiscal plan.

Mayor Knowles replied because the surveys were just recently sent, after the fiscal plan, and it is her job to sell Mount Vernon.

Mr. Janak asked Mr. O'Connor what the property tax impact would be on Countrymark as a result of the annexation ?

Mr. O'Connor replied around \$40,000 per year.

Mr. Janak stated they would pay the city \$20,000 per year NOT to be annexed ?

Mr. O'Connor replied yes.

Mr. Janak asked why Countrymark opposes the annexation ?

Mr. O'Connor replied the increase in property tax, the increase in the corporate rate of taxes, and they feel as though the services proposed in the fiscal plan are not services that Countrymark would have sought out. He added their proposal would provide more revenue than the fiscal plan entails, with no expense to the city.

Mr. Janak asked if is the money then, basically ?

Mr. O'Connor replied yes.

Mr. Janak asked if there was anything else ?

Mr. O'Connor replied not really.

Councilman Cooper asked if Mr. O'Connor had a smaller version of his proposal to hand to the Council ?

Mr. O'Connor replied yes.

Councilman Angel asked if it is even legal for the Council to worry about a four year contract, since they only have two years left in their term ?

Mr. O'Connor replied that each contract can not extend more than four years.

Mr. Janak asked if he is wanting the contracts signed now ?

Mr. O'Connor replied yes, he believes, but he would expect some type of contract to proceed with that.

Councilman Tucker asked if there is a waiver included not to fight annexation at the end of the four year contract ? And what happens at the end of the contract if the city does not want the revenue to end, there needs to be a cushion at the end of the contract period.

Mayor Knowles replied the waivers are always signed. She added there would be no incentive if Countrymark does not pay what everyone else pays.

Mr. O'Connor stated at the end of the agreement, whether a waiver is signed or not, the city could still go after annexation.

Attorney Funkhouser asked Mr. Janak what his position on successive four year contracts was ?

Mr. Janak replied payment in lieu for four years, for five agreements, sounds like a 20 year contract, and to reduce taxes for four years, put the effectiveness off two to four years. He added the could potentially lock the city up for 20 years by this long term agreement, and those would be frowned upon.

Mr. O'Connor stated he would like to reiterate the Council decides on the term of the contract, and they would just like to open the door to discuss alternatives, it isn't a 20 year agreement or nothing.

Mr. John Robb asked if this annexation does not happen, how costly would it be on the other annexations ?

Mayor Knowles replied very.

Mr. Janak stated tens of thousands.

Mr. Robb stated as a taxpayer, he would like to know if Countrymark's figures are wrong and also as a taxpayer, he would like to know if the city annexes more, will his taxes go down ?

Mayor Knowles replied it could lower the levy.

Mr. Robb stated he is looking for facts.

Mayor Knowles stated to improve the assessed value and lower taxes for everyone is the goal.

Councilman Tucker stated by law, the city can not make money on an annexation, but they can increase the tax base, and lower the individual taxes.

Mr. Robb stated he would like to see real good figures on this.

Mr. O'Connor replied all of his figures were provided by the City's consultants.

Mr. Burkhardt stated if a surplus is realized, it will lower the tax base to the city, or they could add to the tax base, they can not totally predict the future, he would rather take questions to generate a response.

Mayor Knowles stated she appreciates that.

Mr. Larry Williams stated, to Mr. Janak, if the state trend is to get away from property taxes, who helps the cities then, or is that even an issue ?

Mr. Janak replied it could be an issue but not anytime soon. He added it is hard telling what will happen, but the city could help control their own destiny with annexation. He stated right now, they can not market that little sliver of the town, annexation of that area would be good for all. He stated if the city has a bigger pie, it is less risky for the rest of the taxpayers, regardless of what happens.

Mr. Bill Collins stated he has three comments - #1, Countrymark was asked if they are making this proposal for the money, well, isn't that why the city is doing this ? #2, from a practical standpoint, isn't a 20 year bond issue tying the hands of future Councils, and #3, how much ground is actually going to be gained by this, it seems they are recruiting, the city would not be gaining much.

Mayor Knowles asked if there were any other comments ?

Mr. O'Connor asked in reference to the lost surcharge for water, can that be made up from a General Fund ?

Mayor Knowles replied she is not sure what he means, but no, the utilities are to be self sufficient.

Mr. O'Connor asked if the water is in the red or the black ?

Mayor Knowles replied black.

Mr. O'Connor asked if the water utility took a \$42,000 hit, could that be made up ?

Councilman Rueger replied part of that is that some of the meters are off, not reading correctly or at all, but the water department is working to make that up.

Mary Ann Watson stated she moved back here five years ago and this is the most exciting thing that has happened since she has been back. She added there are varying opinions on this but just the fact that it is even being considered is wonderful. She added she is tired of being the butt of jokes just because she lives in Mount Vernon (water issues, etc.). She added she would like to congratulate the Council and the Mayor on their work on this project, it is a good thing.

Mayor Knowles asked if there were any other comments ?

Ann Fischer stated in reference to Countrymark, they are a business and it is their duty to pay for the services they are rendered as part of the city. She added they have been in business here for over 60 years and that has been a gift to them of 60 years. She stated for the town to grow, perhaps this is what needs to take place for that to happen.

Ms. Sherri Banks stated the chart Countrymark showed had positive numbers, and yes, money needs to be spent on the riverfront, but Countrymark has been good and supported Mount Vernon in the past - they should negotiate on timing and money and consider what is best for all.

Mr. O'Connor stated he would like to comment on 60 years in business, that has been mutually beneficial, it goes both ways. He added that rather than go straight to remonstrance, he would prefer a mutually beneficial situation, where the city gets revenue and Countrymark pays less than if it were annexed.

Mayor Knowles asked if there were any other comments ? She added if not, she will close the public hearing.

Mayor Knowles asked if anyone else in the Audience wished to address the Council ?

There was no response.

Mayor Knowles asked if there was any Old Business ?

There was none.

Mayor Knowles asked if there was any New Business ?

Councilman Tucker stated along the lines of annexation, he contacted the Black Township Assessor and it seems there are still some houses, warehouses, etc., that are out of the city limits, that should be in ?

Mayor Knowles agreed and added that does need to be rectified and she is working on that. She further stated she would like a copy of Councilman Tucker's paperwork.

Mayor Knowles stated they will now hear the reports of the Districts.

1st District Councilwoman Askren stated she has no report.

2nd District Councilman Tucker stated he has no report.

3rd District Councilman Rueger stated he has no report.

4th District Councilman Angel stated he has no report, just everyone remember to put lids on trash cans, the crows are back.

Councilman-at-Large Cooper stated he has no report.

Mayor Knowles stated she would like to thank Vectren for their efforts in getting the snowflakes electrified, many of the hours were donated and she really appreciates their efforts, they are a good corporate citizen.

Councilman Rueger stated he would like to thank the Mayor, Mrs. Peerman, Mrs. Dike, and Clerk-Treasurer Wolfe for their work no the Christmas party, it was a good party. He would also like to thank the businesses that donated door prizes.

Mayor Knowles agreed and added it was well attended.

Mayor Knowles stated if there were no further business, she entertains a motion to adjourn.

Councilman Rueger moved the meeting be adjourned. Seconded by Councilman Cooper.

Mayor Knowles stated all those in favor of the motion should signify in the affirmative; and following the vote, she reported the motion carried unanimously and adjourned the meeting.

Rosemary L. Knowles

Mayor

ATTEST:

Cristi L. Wolfe

Clerk-Treasurer